Instructions to Contributors

Dear Contributor:

Enclosed in this document please find the page proofs, copyright transfer agreement (CTA), and offprint order form for your article in *The Journal of Knee Surgery*. Please print this document and complete and return the CTA and offprint order form, along with corrected proofs, within 72 hours.

1) Please read proofs carefully for **typographical** and **factual** errors only; mark corrections in the margins of the proofs in blue or black pen, or use **Adobe Acrobat tools** to mark the changes in the PDF file directly. Please be sure to write as clearly as possible so no errors are introduced into your article. **Answer (on the proofs) all author queries marked in the margins of the proofs.** Check references for accuracy. **Please check on the 1st page of your article that your titles and affiliations are correct.** Avoid elective changes, because these are costly and time consuming and will be made at the publisher's discretion.

2) Please pay particular attention to the proper placement of figures, tables, and legends. Please provide copies of any formal letters of permission that you have obtained.

3) Please return the corrected proofs, signed copyright transfer agreement, and your offprint order form.

4) As a contributor to this journal you will receive a complimentary PDF file of the article after publication.

- If you wish to order offprints, **please circle the quantity required** (left column) **and the number of pages in your article.** If you wish to order copies of the journal please enter the number of copies on the indicated line.

- If you do not want to order offprints or journals simply put a slash through the form, **but please return the form.**

Please return all materials within 72 hours. E-mail is the easiest way to ensure your corrections are received in a timely manner. Please return the corrected proofs to:

Ankita Tripathi, Project Manager
Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers
Fax: +91-120-4556649
Email: ankita.tripathi@thieme.in

Please do not return your materials to the editor or the typesetter.

Please note: Due to a tight schedule, if the publisher does not receive the return of your article proofs within 7 days of the date the e-mail was sent to you, the publisher reserves the right to proceed with publication without author changes. Such proofs will be proofread by the editor and the publisher.

Thank you for your contribution to this journal.

Permission to Publish and Copyright Transfer Agreement

Manuscript Information:

Journal:

Manuscript Title:

Manuscript Number:

Authors:

Corresponding author's
contact data:

Corresponding author's
e-mail address:

Contact at the publishers:

E-mail address at
the publishers:

Assignment of Rights

We – the Thieme Publishing Group – do not accept for publication in a journal any manuscript that has previously been published elsewhere.

Your consent to the following assignments of rights, also on behalf of the other authors (if several authors contribute to the manuscript), and the signing of this Copyright Transfer Agreement is a necessary requirement for the publication of your manuscript.

Upon acceptance of your manuscript by us you assign to us (on behalf of all authors), without geographical or language restriction and for the duration of the legal copyright term, the rights to use your article, for all print runs/updates, including the rights to:

– reproduce and distribute copies of the article in printed form (e. g., in a periodical or journal, medical textbook or other target group oriented book, paperback book, special edition for secondary markets or special customers, brochures, advertising supplements, edited volumes, etc.) including the publication of the article under an Open Access license;

– reproduce and distribute the article in electronic media formats (e. g., CD-Rom, DVD, USB memory stick, databases, cloud-based service, ebook and other forms of electronic publishing) and also make available to the public (e. g., internet, intranet or other wired or wireless data networks), in particular by displaying on stationary or mobile visual display units, monitors, smart phones, tablets or other devices by download (e. g., e-pub, PDF, App) or retrieval in any other form;

– publish ourselves or to authorize the publication of excerpts in other works or articles, in audio-visual accompanying materials or interactive products or services, and including the transfer of rights of use to third parties (e. g., under the terms of licensing agreements);

Please use the latest Adobe Reader version independently of your system software (Windows, Linux, Mac OS X).
To download Adobe Reader: click here.

Thieme

Permission to Publish and Copyright Transfer Agreement

– translate, transfer and process into other languages or versions (e. g., podcast, audiobook or other image and sound carriers), broadcast by means of television, cable or satellites, radio or other audio-visual media, to rent out and lend, store in an electronic archive and to use in any other type of format that may become known in the future and – where applicable – for all other rights protected by organizations assessing and/or collecting fees for copyright use. Furthermore you assign to us all statutory royalty claims under relevant law insofar we mandate a reproduction rights organization to administer such rights for publishers and authors; we accept the assignments.

The aforesaid rights to use are assigned to us exclusively. Your rights to use the article as author in accordance with the provisions in the following section "Authors' rights and Green Open Access" shall remain unaffected.

Without prejudice to this assignment of rights, the moral rights in connection with the article shall remain with you and the other authors, whereas we are entitled to all rights with regard to the editorial process and publishing service in connection with the reproduction and distribution of the article in our journal and making it available to the public.

Any adaptions, if appropriate for the exercise of the rights of use granted to us, shall be processed by us.
Please forward any inquiries that are addressed to you regarding the above-mentioned rights of use for our attention and response.

Authors' rights and Green Open Access

The rights of use are assigned to us exclusively – subject to your rights in accordance with our Open Access Guidelines. Our Open Access Guidelines state that immediately after the publication of the article by us, you and the other authors are entitled to make the published version of the article available to the public on your homepage for your own scientific and other non-commercial purposes. Twelve months after publication by us, you and the other authors are entitled to make the accepted manuscript version available to the public on other non-commercial websites, provided that you make full reference to the published version ("Green Open Access"). For further details please click the button "Authors' rights and Green Open Access."

For more Information on our Open Access Program please visit **http://open.thieme.com**.

If you wish to publish your article Gold Open Access, please contact **openthieme@thieme.de**.

OPEN ACCESS

Authors' rights and Green Open Access

Duties of care

Product liability laws set high standards for your duty of care as the author of a scientific manuscript. This is especially the case when you give therapeutic information and/or specify doses. Therefore please check this information carefully in the typeset page-proofs of your article. Your task will be much easier if you have the information counterchecked – depending on the sensitivity of the information within the article – by specialist colleagues. Only you, as the author, have the specialist knowledge to be able to assess the accuracy of the information. For further information on how to indicate corrections, please click the button "Correction markup symbols".

Instructions for Authors

Author's Declaration

I have taken note of the information on the duties of care under product liability law; I agree to the assignments of rights in accordance with the foregoing sections "Assignment of Rights" and "Authors' rights and Green Open Access" also on behalf of the other authors (if several authors have contributed to the article).

I declare that no third party rights will be infringed through the publication. Any material contained in the manuscript (including illustrations, tables, or other material) from third-party sources will be identified as such through citation, indicating the source. If required, I have obtained the copyright permission from the publishers concerned.

The above-mentioned assignments of rights also relate to the illustrations in your manuscript. We do not accept any illustrations for which it has not been granted all rights of use in accordance with this contract.

Should one of the foregoing regulations be or become invalid in whole or in part this shall not affect the validity of the other provisions. Any invalid provision shall be replaced by a regulation that comes as close as possible to the purpose of the invalid provision in economic terms, insofar as legally permissible.

This article is ready to print after the execution of the corrections indicated by me.

_____   _____
Date   Digital Signature

Digital signature

Thieme

OPEN ACCESS

Open Access Publishing – Author Information

Open Access (OA) means free reading and use of scientific literature and related content via the Internet.

1. OA Publishing at Thieme

There are two main ways to publish OA articles: "gold" and "green."

Gold OA means that immediately upon publication your article will be made free to read via the Internet with additional usage rights. In return for this instant and global dissemination and availability of your article, an Article Publication Charge (APC) is paid either by yourself as the author or by your institution or funding organization.

Green OA means that after 12 months you may self-archive the accepted manuscript. You may not use the final published version, but you may deposit your publication as the accepted manuscript in institutional online repositories.

Thieme offers authors the choice by providing both gold and green OA options, with the preference for gold as it provides immediate access to the edited, published version of your article with well-defined reuse rights under a sustainable financial model.

2. General Information — Thieme Open

The Thieme Publishing Group is one of the market-leaders for scientific information and services in medicine and chemistry. Since the end of 2013, we have offered authors an OA publication service called Thieme Open, **http://open.thieme.com**.

This OA service includes rigorous peer-review, professional in-house editorial services, fast publication times, and worldwide access via Thieme's electronic journals, **https://www.thieme.de/en/thieme-connect/home-3939.htm**.

It also includes reference linking and long-term archiving, distribution, including the Digital Object Identifier (DOI), as well as entry into PubMed Central and indexing in OA-relevant search engines.

Our services for the Thieme OA authors:

1. Publish under a gold OA model in our suite of gold OA journals or choose from one of our more than 150 classic journals that also offer you an OA option. Transfer your copyright and declare no conflict of interest electronically by signing the Copyright Transfer Agreement and Conflict of Interest forms.

2. Be certain that your OA manuscript will enjoy the same high-quality standards of peer-review and superior production services as you enjoy in all our journals.

3. Post the final version of your article immediately onto any repository if published under the gold OA option.

4. Deposit the final published version of your article immediately as a PDF on your personal homepage.

5. Protect your rights as an author when you publish your article under a "CC‑BY‑NC‑ND license." Information at: **https://creativecommons.org**

6. Be compliant with requirements, mandates, and policies of funders such as NIH, OSTP, Horizon 2020, DFG, and RCUK. For details see: **http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/search.php**

7. Be assured that your work will be archived long-term at archiving services such as CLOCKSS or Portico.

8. Be assured that your paper is discovered on major search engines and indexing services such as PubMed Central, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), and Scopus.

Thieme

3. Why is Open Access important for you?

OA is an additional publication service among the services and products that are provided by the Thieme Publishing Group.

The most important reasons to publish OA are the immediate, global access, and sharing via the citable version of an article. This means a high visibility leading to a potentially higher usage, more citations, and a higher impact of your article by extended search ability and discoverability. Your article quickly gains wide scientific impact. These play an increasing role if you, your project, and the publication of your results and data are publicly funded. Please find a glossary of bibliometrics at: **http://www.bibliothek.kit.edu/cms/english/bibliometrics-glossary.php**

Maintaining a high-level quality standard undergoing a rigorous peer-review process by international and leading specialists, Thieme OA articles are published quickly and may include multi-media enhancements. Furthermore, your OA publication meets equivalent funder mandates, requirements, and policies.

The advantages of publishing OA in summary:

Available for everybody

Comply with funding requirements

Higher visibility and citations

OPEN ACCESS

Rapid online publication

Retain copyright

Higher impact and readership

4. What does this mean for you?

What you should be considering before the transfer of your copy- and usage rights:

Your position as the copyright holder

As copyright holder you own exclusive rights on usage and re-usage of your work by third parties. The type and level of protection of copyrights and licenses vary between countries.

The Rights Transfer — your rights as an author

When you decide to publish with Thieme, we kindly ask you to assign your copyright to us as your publisher.

OA licenses permit the copyright owner and holder to grant and impose conditions on the reuse of the content. By using a Creative Commons License you agree to a partial disclaimer of your rights as a copyright holder. You renounce irrevocably parts of your copyright and agree to the dissemination of your content to third parties free of charge.

Please find below further information about the CC‑licenses and their acceptance by authors:

On the CC website: **https://wiki.creativecommons.org/Considerations_for_licensors_and_licensees**

About the acceptance by authors: **Scholarlykitchen-acceptance authors-licenses**

CC‑BY‑NC‑ND license license enjoys the highest acceptance among authors, the CC‑BY license the lowest.

5. CC‑BY‑NC‑ND

To abide by and fully comply with our responsibility as publisher of your scientific work and record, Thieme publishes your OA paper under the most recent CC‑BY‑NC‑ND license:

CC‑BY‑NC‑ND (Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivatives)

Users may **share**, copy, and redistribute the material in any medium or format under the following **conditions:**

Attribution — user must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. User may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses the user or her/his use.

Noncommercial — user may not use the material for commercial purposes.

No Derivatives — if user remixes, transforms, or builds upon the material, the user may not distribute the modified material.

No additional restrictions — user may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

Thieme's default license is CC‑BY‑NC‑ND. However, in case of a funder requiring it, you as the author may select another license and we will arrange your publication accordingly.

Please find the relevant information on the submission page of the journal of your choice, as well as on our Copyright Transfer Agreements.

Should you have any questions or would like more information, please visit our Open Access page: **http://open.thieme.com/de/web/19/faq**

Or contact us: the editorial team of your journal

or

thiemeopen@thieme.de

Dear Author,

Many thanks for choosing to publish your manuscript with us! The reviewing of the typeset article by yourself is one of the last steps before publication, and we now ask for your approval to proceed with publication.

The manuscript has been prepared for publication by our copy-editors and typeset into the journal layout. Suggested changes, new formulations, deletions or restructuring of the text, if they are significant, along with questions to you are marked with a square (■). Please consider carefully whether the changes made are suitable and are correct and respond to each question within the pdf proof. Please also check that the images have been reproduced correctly when incorporated into the layout (please note that the resolution will be higher in the print version).

During the editorial process your article has been reviewed, and (if applicable) dosages outlined in the text were scrutinized. However, only you, as the author, have the expertise to assess the accuracy and validity of the information within the text. The responsibility for the accuracy rests solely with you.

We ask that you return corrections in the following way:

To prevent misunderstandings and errors due to readabilty of handwriting, please make any necessary changes in the PDF document using the following edit functions in Adobe Acrobat <u>Reader</u> DC (freeware) or Adobe Acrobat <u>Pro</u> DC and Adobe Acrobat <u>Pro</u> (full version):

Activating the comment function

Acrobat Pro:
1. Tools
2. Comment

<u>Function:</u> **Inserting** text at the cursor location

1. Move cursor to the desired location
2. Click on button „Insert text at cursor"
3. Enter the desired text in the pop-up note box

Function: **Replacing** text

1. Highlight text to be replaced
2. Click on button „Add note to replace text"
3. Enter new text in the pop-up note box

## Function: **Crossing out (Deleting)** text

1. Highlight text to be deleted
2. Click on button „Strikethrough text"

Function: **Adding a sticky note / comment**

1. Click on button „Add sticky note"
2. Click on where you want to place the note in the text
3. Enter comments in the pop-up note box

Please never use this function for text corrections!

Please do not use the Note, Text comment and "Edit Text and Images" function as they will cause technical problems and may cause serious delay in the publication process.

You should return the PDF with the marked corrections by e-mail to your contact at the publishers.

How to Set Up a Digital Signature in Adobe Reader or Adobe Acrobat

Please note: depending on the version of the Adobe program, the depiction of the dialog boxes and the wording can vary. The following screenshots were taken from Adobe Acrobat Reader DC.

To begin the digital ID setup process simply click in the appropriate signature field. Select "Configure Digital ID".

In the following dialog box, please select "Create a new Digital ID" and click Continue.

Specify where you are going to store the digital ID – select "Save to File" and click Continue.

Select the destination of the new Digital ID   ✕

Digital IDs are typically issued by trusted providers that assure the validity of the identity.
Self-signed Digital ID may not provide the same level of assurance and may not be accepted in some use cases.

Consult with your recipients if this is an acceptable form of authentication.

⦿ **Save to File**
Save the Digital ID to a file in your computer

◯ **Save to Windows Certificate Store**
Save the Digital ID to Windows Certificate Store to be shared with other applications

Back   Continue

Type in your personal information (name, organization unit, organization name and email address, country) in all fields and click Continue.

Create a self-signed Digital ID   ✕

Enter the identity information to be used for creating the self-signed Digital ID.

Digital IDs that are self-signed by individuals do not provide the assurance that the identity information is valid. For this reason they may not be accepted in some use cases.

Name	Hans Mustermann
Organizational Unit	Enter Organizational Unit...
Organization Name	Enter Organization Name...
Email Address	Hans.Mustermann@thieme.de
Country/Region	US - UNITED STATES
Key Algorithm	2048-bit RSA
Use Digital ID for	Digital Signatures and Data Encryption

Back   Continue

Enter a file location for your new Digital ID file – either use the default location or enter a different location if you prefer. Then create and enter a password for the ID into both password fields and click Save.

Save the self-signed Digital ID to a file   ✕

> Add a password to protect the private key of the Digital ID. You will need this password again to use the Digital ID for signing.
>
> Save the Digital ID file in a known location so that you can copy or backup it.

Your Digital ID will be saved at the following location :

C:\Users_Username_\AppData\Roaming\Adobe\Acrob   (Browse)

Apply a password to protect the Digital ID:

•••••••••••

Confirm the password:

•••••••••••

(?)   (Back)   (Save)

Order Form for Offprints and additional copies of *The Journal of Knee Surgery*
(Effective January 2013)

Please circle the cost of the quantity/page count you require (orders must be in increments of 100)

Quantity	Pages in Article / Cost				
	1 to 4	**5 to 8**	**9 to 12**	**13 to 16**	**17 to 20**
100	$298	$497	$746	$968	$1,158
200	$397	$646	$970	$1,258	$1,495
300	$496	$798	$1,198	$1,568	$1,869
400	$549	$886	$1,330	$1,735	$2,075
500	$598	$966	$1,450	$1,886	$2,262
1000	$1,076	$1,739	$2,610	$3,385	$3,995

Volume/Issue #: _____   Page Range (of your article): _____

Article Title: _____

MC/Visa/AmEx No: _____   Exp. Date: _____

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

City/State/Zip/Country: _____

Corresponding author will receive a complimentary PDF of the article after publication.

Number of **additional** copies of the journal, at the discounted rate of $25.00 each: _____

Notes
1. The above costs are valid only for orders received before publication of the issue. **Reprints ordered after printing will be substantially more expensive.**

2. **A shipping charge will be added to the above costs.**

3. Reprints are printed on the same coated paper as the journal and saddle-stitched.

4. For larger quantities or late orders, please contact reprints department: Phone: +1(212) 584-4662
Fax: +1(212) 947-1112
E-mail: reprints@thieme.com

Effect of Tibial Slope on Coronal Alignment in Total Knee Arthroplasty

Christopher J. Betzle[Q21][Q3]   Kariline E. Bringe[2]   John V. Horberg[1]   Joseph T. Moskal[1]   John W. Mann[3]

Q2
Q3

[1] Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Carilion Clinic, Roanoke, Virginia
[2] Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic Health System, La Crosse, Wisconsin
[3] Department of Orthopaedics, Carilion Clinic, Roanoke, Virginia

Address for correspondence Christopher J. Betzle, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Carilion Clinic, 2331 Franklin Rd SW, Roanoke, VA 24033-1336 (e-mail: cjbetzlemd@gmail.com).

Abstract

Malalignment of total knee arthroplasty (TKA) components affects function and survivorship. Common practice is to set coronal alignment prior to adjusting slope. With improper jig placement, adjustment of the slope may alter coronal alignment. The purpose of this study was to quantify the change in coronal alignment with increasing posterior tibial slope while comparing two methods of jig fixation. Prospective consecutive series of 100 patients underwent TKA using computer navigation. Fifty patients had extramedullary cutting jig secured proximally with one pin and 50 patients had jig secured proximally with two pins. Coronal alignment (CA) was recorded with each increasing degree of posterior slope (PS) from 0 to 7 degrees. Mean CA and change in CA were compared between cohorts. Utilizing one pin, osteotomies drifted into varus with an average change in CA of 0.34 degrees per degree PS. At 4 degrees PS, patients started to have >3 degrees of varus with 12.0% having >3 degrees of varus at 7 degrees PS. Utilizing two pins, osteotomies drifted into valgus with an average change of 0.04 degrees in CA per degree PS. No patients in the two-pin cohort fell outside 3 degrees varus/valgus CA. CA was significantly different at all degrees of PS between the cohorts. Changes in PS influenced CA making verification of tibial cut intraoperative critical. Use of >1 pin and computer navigation were beneficial to prevent coronal plane malalignment. This relationship may explain why computer navigation has been shown to improve alignment as well as survivorship and outcomes in some patients, especially those <65 years.[Q4]

Keywords
► total knee arthroplasty
► computer navigation
► coronal alignment[Q5]

Q4
Q5

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has become a highly successful operation when treating patients with end stage osteoarthritis. As the population of the United States continues to age, the number of projected TKA procedures performed annually continues to rise.[1] Malalignment in TKA may affect implant function and lead to decreased survival.[2–5] Computer navigation increases the precision and accuracy of component placement.[6–10] Until recently, there has been little evidence of improved survivorship, outcomes, and patient satisfaction in patients undergoing TKA utilizing computer navigation.[11–15] This is a possible explanation for the slow adaptation of computer navigation in TKA and why conventional TKA continues to be the most common technique utilized for TKA in the United States as well as most other countries around the world. Conventional TKA instruments rely on anatomical landmarks to guide with accurate placement of cutting jigs to allow for appropriate osteotomies to restore the desired

Guide External Rotation   Guide Neutral Rotation   Guide Internal Rotation

Posteromedial slope   Posterior Slope   Posterolateral Slope

Fig. 1 Picture demonstrating the relationship between positioning of the extramedullary tibial cutting jig and changes in coronal alignment with increasing posterior slope.

limb alignment. Commonly, for the tibia, an extramedullary cutting guide is utilized.

For the tibial cut, the most commonly used proximal anatomical landmark to set rotational alignment is the junction of the medial and mid one-third of tibial tubercle. A common technique is to pin the proximal aspect of the guide in line with this landmark prior to making any adjustments to the coronal alignment or tibial slope. Adjustments can be made to these parameters prior to pinning, but attempting to adjust all the parameters (slope, coronal alignment, height, and rotation) prior to pinning the jig can be cumbersome. Surgeons may vary the number of pins used to secure the jig. One of our senior authors utilizes a single pin to secure the jig while the other uses two. The surgeon who utilizes one does so for efficiency and concern for increased risk of fracture with multiple pins as has previously been reported in the unicompartmental knee arthroplasty literature.[16]

Placement of the jig in line with this tibial tubercle landmark is essential. It has previously been described in the literature that if the jig is not appropriately aligned, cutting the tibia with any amount of slope will alter the coronal alignment.[17] For example, if the guide is externally rotated, the slope is cut in a posteromedial direction and it will cause increased varus in the cut in the coronal plane (►**Fig. 1**). This effect is magnified with increased slope. Typically, the coronal alignment is set first using an extramedullary guide and then the posterior slope is set.[17]

Increasing the amount of slope in the tibial cut increases the possible change in coronal alignment if the jig position changes. Different TKA designs recommend varying degrees of posterior slope in the tibial osteotomy for ideal implant function. Some cruciate retaining total knee designs recommend up to 7 degrees of posterior slope in the tibial osteotomy to maximize function.[18] If the jig is misaligned, this has the potential to significantly alter the desired coronal alignment of the osteotomy.

Computer navigation allows these alterations in the coronal alignment to be realized in real-time during the procedure as well as for further coronal plane adjustment so that the desired target coronal and sagittal alignment are obtained. The objectives of this study were to quantify the change in coronal alignment of an extramedullary tibial cutting jig as the posterior slope of the proximal tibial cut was increased and to evaluate how rigidity of fixation (one

pin vs. two pins) in the proximal tibia may change the coronal alignment when the posterior slope was increased. The relationship between coronal alignment and tibial slope is important as it can have an impact on the final implant position and limb alignment. Deviating from the preoperative goal, whether that be restoring mechanical axis alignment or kinematic alignment of the knee, may influence the survivorship of the implant and the functional outcome for the patient.

Methods

This study is a prospective consecutive series of patients undergoing TKA performed by two surgeons at a large academic hospital. Prior to initiating the study, IRB approval was obtained (IRB-19–312) by our institutions IRB on December[Q6] 4, 2019. Patients identified as potential study participants were consecutive patients scheduled to undergo TKA utilizing computer navigation with two surgeons. There were no exclusion criteria. These patients were provided with a detailed description of the risks, benefits, and alternatives of participating in the study and gave signed informed consent during their preoperative clinic visit.

Operative Technique
All patients underwent a standard midline incision with medial parapatellar approach and placement of computer navigation arrays. The same computer navigation system was utilized by both surgeons. At the time of the tibial cut, the extramedullary cutting guide was clamped to the ankle distally and then navigated into position. The first cohort of 50 patients treated by a single surgeon had the cutting jig held in place with one pin into the proximal tibia after the desired resection level and rotation were obtained. The second cohort of 50 patients treated by a second single surgeon had the guide held in place with two pins in the proximal tibia after the desired resection level and rotations were obtained. The jig was initially set at 0 degrees varus/valgus and 0 degrees posterior slope in both cohorts. The posterior slope was sequentially increased in 1 degree increments from 0 to 7 degrees and the coronal alignment was recorded with each degree of change. Jig position was then return to planned resection position for each surgeon and the tibial cut was performed. The navigation verification tool was utilized to record the final tibial cut alignment. The remainder of the procedure was performed per the surgeon's usual technique.

Statistical Analysis
Independent statistical analysis was performed. A power analysis was performed which returned a sample size of 64 patients with cohorts of 32 patients each necessary to make our study results valid. Mean coronal alignment was calculated for each cohort at each degree of posterior slope. Also, the mean change in coronal alignment per change in degree of posterior slope was calculated for each cohort. Finally, the mean final tibial cut alignment was calculated for each cohort and compared with the surgeon target. These

data were evaluated and felt to be appropriate for pooled *t*-test and ANOVA. Coronal alignment was compared between the two groups at each recorded degree of posterior slope.

Results

There were statistically significant differences between the mean coronal alignment in each cohort with each degree of increasing posterior slope (►**Table 1**) with *p*-values of <0.0001 for each degree of posterior slope. All the tibial osteotomies drifted into increased varus in the single pin cohort. The mean coronal alignment at 7 degrees posterior slope for the single pin cohort was 2.38 degrees varus with a range of 0.5 to 4.5 degrees varus. The tibial osteotomies in the two-pin cohort had a propensity to drift into valgus to a less significant degree. The mean coronal alignment at 7 degrees posterior slope for the two-pin cohort was 0.22 degrees valgus with a range of 1 degree varus to 1.5 degrees valgus. Six of the 50 patients in the single pin cohort (12.0%) had >3 degrees of varus at 7 degrees posterior slope while there were zero patients in the two-pin cohort who had >1.5 degrees valgus at 7 degrees posterior slope. The mean change in coronal alignment per degree of increased posterior slope in the single pin cohort was 0.34 degrees while the average change in the two-pin group was 0.04 degrees (►**Table 2**).

The mean final tibial cut alignment in the single pin group was 0 degrees varus/valgus and 2.04 degrees posterior slope while the surgeon target was 0 degrees varus/valgus with 2 degrees posterior slope. The mean final tibial cut alignment in the two-pin group was 0 degrees varus/valgus with 0.88 degrees posterior slope while the surgeon target was 0 degrees varus valgus with 0 degrees posterior slope. There were no tibial fractures identified in either group.

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that changes in tibial slope do influence coronal alignment. It also demonstrated that the use of one pin resulted in an increased deviation from desired coronal alignment with increasing amounts of posterior slope when compared with two pins. One possible explanation for this observation is that the jig may be able to rotate around the single pin despite distal stabilization provided by ankle clamp portion of the extramedullary guide as the slope is increased resulting in changes in the coronal alignment while the second pin provides more constraint and does not allow for as much rotation.

It was also observed in this study that computer navigation helps surgeons achieve their preoperative tibial osteotomy target more precisely as it allows them to identify in real

Table 1 Mean coronal[Q7] alignment at increasing degrees of posterior slope

[Q7]

| Posterior slope | Single pin | | Two pin | | *p*-Value |
	Mean coronal alignment	Range	Mean coronal alignment	Range	
1 degree	0.26 degrees Varus	0.0–0.5 degrees Varus	0.01 degrees Valgus	0.5 degrees Valgus–0.5 degrees Varus	<0.0001
2 degrees	0.63 degrees Varus	0.0–1.5 degrees Varus	0.09 degrees Valgus	0.5 degrees Valgus–1.0 degrees Varus	<0.0001
3 degrees	1.01 degrees Varus	0.0–2.5 degrees Varus	0.05 degrees Valgus	1.0 degrees Valgus–0.5 degrees Varus	<0.0001
4 degrees	1.35 degrees Varus	0.0–2.5 degrees Varus	0.12 degrees Valgus	1.5 degrees Valgus–1.0 degrees Varus	<0.0001
5 degrees	1.75 degrees Varus	0.5–3.5 degrees Varus	0.16 degrees Valgus	1.5 degrees Valgus–1.0 degrees Varus	<0.0001
6 degrees	2.06 degrees Varus	0.5–4.0 degrees Varus	0.20 degrees Valgus	1.5 degrees Valgus–1.0 degrees Varus	<0.0001
7 degrees	2.38 degrees Varus	0.5 degrees –4.5 degrees Varus	0.22 degrees Valgus	1.5 degrees Valgus–1.0 degrees Varus	<0.0001

Table 2 Mean change in coronal alignment per degree of increased posterior slope

| Posterior slope | Single pin | | Two pin | |
	Mean change Coronal alignment	Range	Mean change Coronal alignment	Range
0–1 degrees	0.26 degrees	0.0–0.5 degrees	0.01 degrees	0.0–0.5 degrees
1–2 degrees	0.37 degrees	0.0–1.0 degrees	0.08 degrees	0.0–0.5 degrees
2–3 degrees	0.38 degrees	0.0–1.5 degrees	0.04 degrees	0.0–0.5 degrees
3–4 degrees	0.34 degrees	0.0–1.5 degrees	0.07 degrees	0.0–0.5 degrees
4–5 degrees	0.40 degrees	0.0–1.0 degrees	0.04 degrees	0.0–1.0 degrees
5–6 degrees	0.31 degrees	0.0–1.0 degrees	0.04 degrees	0.0–1.0 degrees
6–7 degrees	0.31 degrees	0.0–1.0 degrees	0.02 degrees	0.0–0.5 degrees
Mean change	0.34 degrees		0.04 degrees	

time any changes in coronal alignment and correct them prior to performing the osteotomy. In our study, both surgeons strived for neutral mechanical axis restoration. Our data demonstrate that computer navigation allowed both to meet their preoperative planned osteotomies as demonstrated in our data with the final tibial cut alignment validation data. Un-noticed changes in coronal alignment using a conventional technique can lead to deviation from this preoperative target. Care should be taken when manually aligning the tibial cutting jig to recheck the coronal alignment if the slope of the jig has been altered prior to tibial osteotomy. Alternatively, jig malposition due to the interplay between coronal alignment and tibial may be avoided by setting the tibial slope prior to setting the coronal alignment. Therefore, we suggest that setting tibial slope prior to coronal alignment may be a preferred sequence of events.

This observed deviation from planned coronal alignment with increasing tibial slope, especially greater than 4 degrees, can have long-term consequences on implant survivorship and patient outcomes regardless of initial desired target. For example, in our study, with the goal of neutral mechanical axis restoration, six of 50 patients (12.0%) in the one pin cohort had a final coronal alignment of >3 degrees of varus at 7 degrees posterior slope. Multiple studies have reported that the goal of mechanical axis restoration should be within ± 3 degrees of neutral to maximize survivorship and outcome.[2,3,19] While computer navigation helps ensure alignment falls within that designated zone, until recently, it had not been shown that there is a significant difference in survivorship and outcomes using computer navigation.[11–15] There is emerging literature with longer term follow-up that demonstrate superior survivorship and outcomes in patients undergoing TKA utilizing computer navigation especially in patients younger than 65.[11,13,14] Additionally, Berend et al has previously reported a statistically increased rate of failure of the tibial component if positioned >3.9 degrees varus. In our one pin cohort, four of 50 patients (8.0%) would have been at risk for that increased rate of failure.[5] This concept can be extrapolated to the potential impact of coronal alignment deviation on the outcomes and survivorship of kinematically aligned knees. Therefore, careful consideration should be taken when utilizing conventional instrumentation and an implant system recommending large amounts of posterior slope.

Our data also demonstrates that as computer navigation and other enabling technologies continue to evolve, using less than two pins for proximal tibial jig fixation despite distal stabilization significantly increases the risk of malpositioning if it goes undetected. A malpositioned jig leads to alteration of the desired tibial cut which further underscores the importance of cut verification when utilizing these technologies in addition to the implications previously discussed for use of conventional instrumentation for TKA.

Our study is not without limitations. The use of one or two pins was not randomized between surgeons. Fifty consecutive patients were obtained using one pin by one surgeon and

the second 50 consecutive patients were obtained using two pins by the second surgeon. While surgical technique was similar between the two surgeons, their final goal for tibial osteotomy differed with the first surgeon planning for 2 degrees posterior slope and the second surgeon planning for 0 degrees posterior slope. Also, each surgeon used a different extramedullary tibial cutting jig. The design is similar but each surgeon used a different implant system and thus a different tibial jig which we feel makes the potential for the guide to be a confounding factor minimal especially since in both groups we observed that changes in posterior slope resulted in changes in coronal alignment.

Conclusion

Based on these findings, when posterior slope is increased using an extramedullary cutting jig there is a propensity to alter the previously set coronal alignment of the jig. In our study, when one pin is utilized, increased slope resulted in varus alignment >3 degrees in six of 50 patients (12.0%) at 7 degrees posterior slope while zero patients in the two-pin cohort had a change in coronal alignment >1.5 degrees at 7 degrees posterior slope. Excessive varus alignment may result in decreased survivorship of prosthesis. Use of more than one pin is beneficial to prevent deviation from desired coronal alignment in systems with increased posterior slope and demonstrates that verification of the tibial cut is critical intraoperatively especially if using a single pin for fixation. Additionally, these variations can be recognized by computer navigation and can allow for intraoperative correction. As further long-term data emerges regarding improved survivorship and outcomes in patients following TKA using computer navigation, the relationship observed in this study between posterior slope and coronal alignment may help explain why navigation leads to increased survivorship and outcomes.

References

1 Kurtz S, Ong K, Lau E, Mowat F, Halpern M. Projections of primary and revision hip and knee arthroplasty in the United States from 2005 to 2030. J Bone Jt Surg -Ser A 2007;89(04):780–785

2 Morgan SS, Bonshahi A, Pradhan N, Gregory A, Gambhir A, Porter ML. The influence of postoperative coronal alignment on revision surgery in total knee arthroplasty. Int Orthop 2008;32(05): 639–642

3 Bonner TJ, Eardley WGP, Patterson P, Gregg PJ. The effect of postoperative mechanical axis alignment on the survival of primary total knee replacements after a follow-up of 15 years. J Bone Jt Surg—Ser B 2011;93(09):1217–1211

4 Ritter MA, Faris PM, Keating EM, Meding JB. Postoperative alignment of total knee replacement: its effect on survival. Clin Orthop Rel Res 1994;299:153–156

5 Berend ME, Ritter MA, Meding JB, et al. Tibial component failure mechanisms in total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Rel Res 2004; (428):26–34

6 Matziolis G, Krocker D, Weiss U, Tohtz S, Perka C. A prospective, randomized study of computer-assisted and conventional total knee arthroplasty: three-dimensional evaluation of implant alignment and rotation. J Bone Jt Surg—Ser A 2007;89(02): 236–243

7 Sparmann M, Wolke B, Czupalla H, Banzer D, Zink A. Positioning of total knee arthroplasty with and without navigation support. J Bone Jt Surg—Ser B 2003;85:830–835

8 Mason JB, Fehring TK, Estok R, Banel D, Fahrbach K. Meta-analysis of alignment outcomes in computer-assisted total knee arthroplasty surgery. J Arthroplasty 2007;22(08): 1097–1106

9 Haaker RG, Stockheim M, Kamp M, Proff G, Breitenfelder J, Ottersbach A. Computer-assisted navigation increases precision of component placement in total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2005;(433):152–159

10 Victor J, Hoste D. Image-based computer-assisted total knee arthroplasty leads to lower variability in coronal alignment. Clin Orthop Rel Res 2004;(428):131–139

11 de Steiger RN, Liu YL, Graves SE. Computer navigation for total knee arthroplasty reduces revision rate for patients less than sixty-five years of age. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2015;97(08): 635–642

12 Brereton SG, Grant A, Dlaska CE. Computer-assisted technologies in arthroplasty: navigating your way today. JBJS Rev 2020;8(03): e0157

13 Baier C, Wolfsteiner J, Otto F, et al. Clinical, radiological and survivorship results after ten years comparing navigated and conventional total knee arthroplasty: a matched-pair analysis. Int Orthop 2017;41(10):2037–2044

14 Todesca A, Garro L, Penna M, Bejui-Hugues J. Conventional versus computer-navigated TKA: a prospective randomized study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017;25(06):1778–1783

15 Petursson G, Fenstad AM, Gøthesen Ø, et al. Computer-assisted compared with conventional total knee replacement: a multicenter parallel-group randomized controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2018;100(15):1265–1274

16 Brumby SA, Carrington R, Zayontz S, Reish T, Scott RD. Tibial plateau stress fracture: a complication of unicompartmental knee arthroplasty using 4 guide pinholes. J Arthroplasty 2003;18(06): 809–812

17 Vail TP, Lang JE. Surgical Techniques and Instrumentation in Total Knee Arthroplasty. Sixth ed. Elsevier Inc.; 2017

18 Zimmer. Nexgen Complete Knee Solution Surgical Technique. 2003[Q8]   Q8

19 Parratte S, Pagnano MW, Trousdale RT, Berry DJ. Effect of postoperative mechanical axis alignment on the fifteen-year survival of modern, cemented total knee replacements. J Bone Jt Surg-Ser A 2010;92(12):2143–2149

Author Query Form (JKS/200388oa)

Special Instructions: Author please write responses to queries directly on proofs and then return back.